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Abstract

The heat diffusion distance and kernel have gained a central role in geometry processing and shape analysis. This paper addresses a novel discretization and spectrum-free computation of the diffusion kernel and distance on a 3D shape \( \mathcal{P} \) represented as a triangle mesh or a point set. After rewriting different discretizations of the Laplace-Beltrami operator in a unified way and using an intrinsic scalar product on the space of functions on \( \mathcal{P} \), we derive a shape-intrinsic heat kernel matrix, together with the corresponding diffusion distances. Then, we propose an efficient computation of the heat distance and kernel through the solution of a set of sparse linear systems. In this way, we bypass the evaluation of the Laplacian spectrum, the selection of a specific subset of eigenpairs, and the use of multi-resolutive prolongation operators. The comparison with previous work highlights the main features of the proposed approach in terms of smoothness, stability to shape discretization, approximation accuracy, and computational cost.
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1. Introduction

The heat diffusion kernel and distance on manifolds play a central role in several applications such as spectral clustering, data classification, dimensionality reduction, kernel principal component analysis, and visualization. Among their main properties, we mention the intrinsic and multi-scale definition with respect to the input shape, the invariance to isometries, the shape-awareness, the robustness to noise and tessellation. The heat diffusion distance and kernel have been successfully applied to shape segmentation [10] and comparison [6, 14, 20, 23, 33]; to the computation of the gradient of discrete maps [38]; and to the multi-scale approximation of functions [24]. The diffusion distance and kernel also play a central role in several applications, such as dimensionality reduction with spectral embeddings [2, 39]; data visualization [2, 16, 27, 34], representation [7, 30, 40], and classification [22, 29, 32] through auto-diffusion maps [14] and diffusion distances [5, 9, 17].

Overview and contribution. This paper addresses the discretization and computation of the heat diffusion kernel and distance on 3D shapes represented as triangle meshes or point sets. For surfaces represented as triangle meshes or point sets, we firstly rewrite the discrete Laplace-Beltrami operator in a unified way as \( \tilde{L} := B^{-1}L \), where \( L \) is a symmetric, semi-positive definite matrix and \( B \) is positive definite. For triangle meshes, \( L \) is the Laplacian matrix with cotangent weights and \( B \) is the diagonal matrix of the Voronoi areas [11], or the FEM mass matrix [26, 35], or the identity matrix [25]. For point sets, \( L \) is the Gram matrix associated to the exponential kernel and \( B \) is the identity matrix [3, 4] or the diagonal matrix of the Voronoi areas [19]. Under these assumptions, \( L \) represents the \( L^2 \) scalar product on the space of 1-forms.

Successively, the diffusion kernel and distance are discretized with respect to the scalar product \( \langle f, g \rangle_B := \Gamma^T B g \) on the space \( \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}) := \{ f : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{R}, \Gamma := f(p) \}_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \) of functions defined on \( \mathcal{P} \), which is either a triangle mesh or a point set. Here, the symmetric, positive-definite matrix \( B \) is chosen in such a way that the corresponding scalar product is intrinsic to the surface underlying \( \mathcal{P} \) and is adapted to its local sampling. Using the \( B \)-scalar product, we derive the heat kernel \( K_t := XD_t X^T B, D_t := \text{diag}(\exp(-t\lambda_i))_{i=1}^n \), where \( X \) is the eigenvectors’ matrix associated to the generalized eigenproblem \( LX = BX \) and \( \Gamma := \text{diag}(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^n \), \( 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq \lambda_{i+1} \), is the eigenvalue matrix. Verifying that the heat kernel \( K_t \) is still the exponential \( \exp(-tB^{-1}L) \) of the Laplacian matrix \( \tilde{L} := B^{-1}L \), we motivate the inclusion of the matrix \( B \) in the definition of the heat kernel and the importance of the orthonormality of the Laplacian eigenvectors with respect to \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B \) for the validity of the exponential representation. Using the proposed discretization of the heat kernel and the shape-intrinsic scalar product, we also derive the corresponding heat diffusion distance, which are compared with respect to previous work.

If the matrix \( B \) is lumped to the positive diagonal matrix \( D \), then the heat kernel \( K_t \) becomes equal to the discretization \( K_t^* := XD_t X^T D \), which holds for Laplacians of type \( L := D^{-1}W \) [6, 23, 28, 33, 37]. In this case, \( W \) has the mask of the mesh adjacency matrix and the diagonal entries of \( D \) are the areas of the Voronoi regions associated to the points of \( \mathcal{P} \) for both the Voronoi-cot and Voronoi-exp Laplacian weights. In previous work [14, 6, 23, 38, 33, 37], the Laplacian eigenvectors used for the computation of the diffusion distances on tri-
angle meshes are orthonormal with respect to the scalar product induced by the diagonal matrix $D$ whose entries are the areas of the Voronoi regions associated to the mesh vertices. The linear FEM mass matrix $B$ allows us to accurately encode the geometry of the input surface through the area of its triangles instead of its Voronoi regions. In this way, the proposed discretization of the heat kernel has also a higher robustness against topological and scale changes, irregular sampling, and noise.

Then, we compute any discretization of the heat diffusion distance through the Chebyshev approximation [8, 15, 21] of the weighted heat kernel matrix. In this case, the computation of the diffusion kernel and distance is achieved through the solution of sparse linear systems and a sequence of matrix-vector multiplications, without computing the Laplacian spectrum. To this end, we consider the $(r, r)$-degree rational function that is the best approximation of the exponential function with respect to the $L_{\infty}$ norm. According to [15, 36], the computation of the heat distance is reduced to the solution of $r$ sparse linear systems $O(nm)$ time with iterative solvers, such as the Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel, and minimum residual methods [15]. The overall cost of the computation of the value $K_t(p, p) = e^{t}K_0 e_i$ at $k$ feature points varies from $O(kn)$ to $O(kn^2)$, according to the sparsity of the coefficient matrix. The approximation accuracy is lower than $10^{-r}$ (e.g., $r := 5, 7$) and it can be further reduced by slightly increasing the degree $r$ of the rational Chebyshev polynomial used for the spectrum-free computation.

As main contribution with respect to previous work, our approach is independent of the evaluation of the Laplacian spectrum, the selection of a specific subset of eigenpairs, and the use of multiresolution prolongation operators. To speed-up the computation of the values of the heat diffusion kernel and distances for several values of $t$, or between a large number of points, it is sufficient to apply iterative solvers of linear systems or a pre-factorization of the coefficient matrices. The proposed algorithm is also robust with respect to irregular sampling density, noise, mesh degeneracies; can be applied to different Laplacian weights; and is free of user-defined parameters. On the contrary, in previous work the resolution of the simplified approximation of the input surface, on which the Laplacian matrix is computed, and the number of Laplacian eigenpairs are tuned according to the target approximation accuracy. Since our approach works mainly with matrices, the evaluation of the spectral distances is independent of the discretization of the input surface as a triangle mesh or a point cloud.

**Related work.** In previous work, the computation of the Laplacian spectrum is the main computational bottleneck for the evaluation of the heat diffusion kernel and distance; in fact, it takes from $O(n)$ to $O(n^3)$ time, according to the sparsity of the Laplacian matrix. Even though iterative solvers of sparse eigenproblems reduce the computational cost to super linear time [35], the computation of the whole spectrum is unfeasible. To overcome this drawback, the solution to the heat equation and the diffusion distance are approximated as

\[
\begin{align*}
    F_t(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \exp(-\lambda_i t)(f, x_i)_g x_i, \\
    d^2(p, p') &= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \exp(-\lambda_i t)x_i(p) - x_i(p'))^2,
\end{align*}
\]

where $x_i(p)$ is the $i$th component of the eigenvector $x_i$, and $k$ is the number of selected eigenpairs. Indeed, only a part of the Laplacian spectrum is used to approximate the heat kernel and distances through a truncated sum, which involves the contribution of the Laplacian eigenvectors related to the smaller eigenvalues. In this case, the heat diffusion distance and kernel can only be approximated and not exactly evaluated. To overcome these limitations of the partial spectral approximation of the heat diffusion distance and make the computation faster, previous work has also approximated the heat kernel by prolongating its values computed on a sub-sampling of the input shape [37], similarly to the computation of diffusion wavelets [9] through multi-resolution decompositions. In all the previous approaches, the number of eigenpairs selected for the approximation of the heat diffusion kernel is heuristically adapted to the temporal parameter $t$ or selected by the user. A small value of $t$ generally requires the time-consuming computation of a large number of eigenvectors, whose capability of encoding local shape features depends on the mesh resolution used for the spectrum calculation. Furthermore, on point-sampled and non-manifold surfaces the definition of multi-resolutive and prolongation operators is generally ambiguous.

**Paper organization.** We introduce the proposed discretization of the heat diffusion kernel and distance (Sect. 2), their spectrum-free computation (Sect. 3), and an overview of their main properties (Sect. 4). Finally, we conclude the paper and present future work (Sect. 5).

**2. Discrete heat diffusion equation and distances**

The scale-based representation $F : M \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ of the map $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$, defined on a surface $M$, is the solution to the heat diffusion equation $\partial_t F(p, t) = -\Delta F(p, t)$. $(p, t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}^+$, and it is written through the convolution operator $\ast$ as

\[
\begin{align*}
    F(p, t) &= k_t(p, \cdot) \ast h = \int_M k_t(p, q)h(q)dq \\
    k_t(p, q) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda_i t)\delta_{\phi_i}(p)\phi_i(q),
\end{align*}
\]

where $k_t$ is the heat diffusion kernel and $(\lambda_i, \phi_i)$ are the eigenpairs of the Laplace-Beltrami operator; i.e., $\Delta \phi_i = \lambda_i \phi_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

We discretize the heat diffusion equation on the space $T(\mathcal{P}) := \{f : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{R}, f := (f(p))_{p \in \mathcal{P}}\}$ of maps defined on $\mathcal{P}$. If $\mathcal{P}$ is the set of vertices of a triangle mesh, then the values of $f$ are extended from the surface vertices along the edges and on the faces by using barycentric coordinates. If $\mathcal{P}$ is a point set, then $f$ is defined only on $\mathcal{P}$. In $T(\mathcal{P})$, we consider the scalar product $(f, g)_{\mathcal{P}} := \mathcal{P}^t B g$, induced by the symmetric, positive-definite matrix $B$, where $f := (f(p))_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ and $g := (g(p))_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ are the arrays of function values. As discussed in [127, 35], the matrix $B$ must be chosen in such a way that the corresponding scalar product is intrinsic to the surface underlying $\mathcal{P}$ and is adapted to its local sampling. For more details on the choice of $B$, we refer the reader to Sect. 4.

Let us now consider the Laplacian spectrum $[(\lambda_i, x_i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of the couple $(L, B)$, which satisfies the generalized eigenproblem

\[
\begin{align*}
    Lx_i &= \lambda_i Bx_i
\end{align*}
\]
\( Lx_i = \lambda_i x_i, \ 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq \lambda_{i+1}, \) and the orthonormality conditions 
\[ x_i^T Bx_j = \delta_{ij}, \ i, j = 1, \ldots, n. \] These relations are rewritten in matrix form as
\[ LX = BX^T, \quad \Gamma := \text{diag}(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^n, \quad X^T BX = I, \] (2)

where \( \Gamma \) is the diagonal matrix of the Laplacian eigenvalues and \( X := [x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) is the matrix of the eigenvectors.

Introducing the vector \( F(t) := (F(p, t))_{p=1}^m \), the heat diffusion equation is discretized in \( F(\mathcal{P}) \) as
\[ \partial_t F(t) = -L F(t), \quad F(0) = f. \]
Let us now express the function \( F(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(t) x_i \) in terms of the eigensystem of \((L, B)\), where \( \alpha(t) := (\alpha_i(t))_{i=1}^n \) is the unknown vector. Using the identity \( f = \sum_{i=1}^n (f, x_i) x_i \), the invertibility of the matrix \( B \), and the linear independence of the Laplacian eigenvectors, each component \( \alpha_i(t) \) satisfies the differential equation \( \alpha_i'(t) + \lambda_i \alpha_i(t) = 0 \), with boundary condition \( \alpha_i(0) = (f, x_i)_B \). Then, the scale-based representation of \( f : \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{R} \) is
\[ F(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \exp(-\lambda_i t)(f, x_i)_B x_i \]
and it is re-written in matrix form as \( F(t) = K F(0) \), where the heat kernel matrix
\[ K_t := XD_t X^T B, \quad D_t := \text{diag} \left( \exp(-\lambda_i t) \right)_{i=1}^n, \] (3)
is self-adjoint with respect to the \( B \)-scalar product. Recalling that the first eigenpair is \((0,1)\), \( K_t \) converges to the constant averaging operator, as \( t \to +\infty \); i.e., \( \lim_{t \to +\infty} K \tau = (f^T B) I \). Finally, the representation
\[ K_t =_{(3)} X \text{diag} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-\lambda_t)^k}{k!} \right)_{i=1}^n X^T B \]
\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-t)^k}{k!} X \Delta^k X^T B \]
\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-B^{-1}L)^k}{k!}, \quad (B^{-1}L)^k =_{(2)} X \Delta^k X^T B \]
\[ = \exp(-tB^{-1}L) \] (4)
of the heat kernel matrix as the exponential of the Laplacian matrix with respect to time motivates the inclusion of the matrix \( B \) in the heat kernel (3) and the importance of the orthogonality of the Laplacian eigenvectors for the validity of (4).

**Heat diffusion distances.** Using the identity
\[ K_t^* B K_t =_{(2)} B XD_2^T X^T B = B K_2, \] (5)
and according to [9], let us introduce the diffusion distance, with respect to \( K_t \) and the \( B \)-scalar product, as
\[ d^2_t(p, p) := \|K_t(p, \cdot) - K_t(p, \cdot)\|^2 \]
\[ = \|K_t(e_i - e_j)\|^2_B \]
\[ = (e_i - e_j)^T K_t B K_t(e_i - e_j) \]
\[ = (e_i - e_j)^T B XD_2^T X^T B(e_i - e_j) \]
\[ = \|e_i - e_j\|^2_B \exp(-2t) \] (6)

Comparing this expression with the standard discretization of the heat distance (1b), we get that (6) is achieved from (1b) by replacing \( x_i(p) = e_i^T x_i \) with \( e_i^T B x_i \) and rescaling the temporal variable. However, Eq. (1b) does not take into account the intrinsic \( B \)-scalar product, or its lumped approximation, thus disregarding the underlying generalized eigenproblem.
3. Computation of the discrete diffusion kernel and distance

For the computation of the heat distance, previous work overcomes the high computational cost for the evaluation of the Laplacian spectrum by considering only the contribution of a fixed number of eigenpairs in Eq. (1b). This choice is motivated by the exponential decay of the filter factor \( \exp(-\lambda_i t) \), which increases with \( \lambda_i \) and reduces the contribution of the corresponding eigenvector to the diffusion distance and kernel. We now describe how the heat diffusion distance and kernel are approximated through the Chebyshev approximation and without computing the Laplacian spectrum; to this end, we reduce both problems to evaluate the vector \( Kf \), for specific choices of \( f \).

**Computation of the heat diffusion kernel and distances.** For the evaluation of \( \mathbf{K}_{r} \mathbf{e}_i \) through the Chebyshev method, we firstly compute the vector \( \mathbf{K}_{r} \mathbf{e}_i \) using the Chebyshev method; then, we consider its \( i \)th component. Rewriting the heat distance (6) in terms of the kernel \( H_t := B K_{2r} \) as

\[
d^2_{p_i}(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_j) = ||K_i \mathbf{e}_i||_B^2 - 2(K_i \mathbf{e}_i, K_j \mathbf{e}_j)_B + ||K_j \mathbf{e}_j||_B^2
\]

we get that \( d^2_{p_i}(\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_j) \) is evaluated by computing \( K_{2r} \mathbf{e}_i, K_{2r} \mathbf{e}_j \), which are then multiplied by \( \mathbf{e}_i^T B \) or \( \mathbf{e}_j^T B \). Indeed, the entries of the heat diffusion kernel and the corresponding distances are computed through the Chebyshev approximation and without extracting the Laplacian spectrum. Finally, we notice that the proposed computation of both the heat diffusion kernel and distance is independent of the discretization of the input surface as a triangle mesh or a point cloud.

**Evaluation of \( Kf \) through the Chebyshev approximation.** To evaluate \( \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{t}):=Kf \), for any \( f \in \mathbb{R}^n \), we apply the Chebyshev method \([8, 15, 21]\) to the weighted heat kernel. Using

\[
\exp(\mathbf{C}) = \alpha_0 \mathbf{I} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i (\mathbf{C} - \theta_i \mathbf{I})^{-1}
\]

in this representation, the poles \([\theta_i]_{i=1}^{r}\) and the coefficients \([\alpha_i]_{i=1}^{r}\) have been computed for \( r := 5, 7 \) \([13]\). For a general degree \( r \) and a fixed value of \( t \), the coefficients of the rational approximation of the exponential function are computed using the Padé method \([15]\), which is implemented in standard numerical software packages. Through Eq. (7), \( \exp(\mathbf{C}) \mathbf{f} \) is approximated as \( \exp(\mathbf{C}) \mathbf{f} = \alpha_0 \mathbf{f} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i (\mathbf{C} - \theta_i \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{f} \); i.e., \( \exp(\mathbf{C}) \mathbf{f} \) is the sum of the solutions of \( r \) sparse linear systems

\[
(\mathbf{C} - \theta_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{g}_i = \alpha_i \mathbf{f}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, r.
\]

Since we cannot explicitly invert the matrix \( \mathbf{B} \) and apply the scheme to \( \mathbf{C} := -t \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{L} \), we notice that each vector in Eq. (8) solves the system \( (t \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{L} + \theta_i \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{g}_i = -\alpha_i \mathbf{f} \) if and only if \( (t \mathbf{L} + \theta_i \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{g}_i = -\alpha_i \mathbf{B} \mathbf{f} \). For any \( i = 1, \ldots, r \), \( \mathbf{g}_i \) is now calculated as the solution of a sparse linear system and \( Kf \) is recovered as

\[
Kf = \alpha_0 \mathbf{f} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathbf{g}_i = \alpha_0 \mathbf{f} - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i (t \mathbf{L} + \theta_i \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{f}.
\]
The solution $g$ is computed by an iterative solver, which exploits the sparsity of the coefficient matrix $(tL + \theta B)$, without pre-factorizing the matrices $L$ and $B$. Among the main solvers, we mention the Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel, and minimum residual methods (minres) [15]. Our implementation uses the minres procedure, which computes a minimum norm residual solution to the input linear system, whose coefficient matrix is symmetric, large, and sparse but not necessarily positive definite. Then, the overall cost of the computation of the value $K_i(i, i) = e_i^T \tilde{K} e_i$ at $k$ feature points varies from $O(kn)$ to $O(kn^2)$, according to the sparsity of the coefficient matrix. To speed-up the computation of the values of the heat diffusion kernel and distances for several values of $t$, or between a large number of points, it is sufficient to solve the linear systems in Eq. (8) with iterative methods or pre-factorizing the matrices $(L, B)$ [15].

**Approximation accuracy and numerical stability.** Assuming exact arithmetic, the $\ell_2$ approximation error between $\exp(-tC)$ and its rational approximation $c_{\rho}(tC)$ is lower than the uniform rational Chebyshev constant $\sigma_{\rho}$ [36]. Since this constant is known, independent of $t$, and related to the degree of the rational Chebyshev polynomial by the relation $\sigma_{\rho} \approx 10^{-7}$, $r := 7$ provides an error lower than $10^{-7}$, which is satisfactory for the approximation of $K_i f$ on 3D shapes. If necessary, a higher approximation accuracy is achieved by slightly increasing the degree $r$ of the Chebyshev rational polynomial. According to [21], the Chebyshev approximation of the matrix $\exp(-tC)$ might be numerically unstable if $\|C\|_2$ becomes large. From the upper bound $\|B^{-1} L\|_2 \leq t \lambda_{\max}(L) t^{-1} \lambda_{\max}(B)$, we get that a well-conditioned mass matrix $B$ guarantees that $\|B^{-1} L\|_2$ is bounded. These considerations and our experiments confirm that the Chebyshev method provides a good approximation accuracy and numerical stability for the computation of the discrete heat diffusion kernel.

4. Results and discussion

In the following, we represent the Laplace-Beltrami operator on triangle meshes and point sets in a unified way as $L := B^{-1} L$, where $B$ is a positive definite matrix and $L$ is symmetric, semipositive definite. Then, we discuss the main features of the proposed approach in terms of smoothness, stability to shape discretization, approximation accuracy, and computational cost.

**Laplacian matrix for triangle and polygonal meshes.** Assuming that the input shape is discretized as a triangle mesh, whose set of vertices is $\mathcal{P} := \{p_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$, the Laplacian matrix is defined as $L := B^{-1} L$, where $L$ is the Laplacian matrix with cotangent weights and $B$ is the diagonal matrix whose entries are the areas of the Voronoi regions of the mesh vertices (Voronoi-cot weights) [11]. Alternatively, $B$ is the FEM mass matrix (linear FEM weights) [26, 35], which codes the variation of the triangle areas. On polygonal meshes, we consider the Laplacian discretization proposed in [1], which provides a generalization of the Laplacian matrix with cot-weights to surface meshes with non-planar, non-convex faces.
Examples and discussion. For our tests, we consider the solution $K_{e_i}$ to the heat diffusion process, whose initial condition takes value 1 at the anchor point $p_i$ and 0 otherwise. On irregularly sampled data, the linear FEM heat kernel (Figs. 1, 2) provides smooth level sets that are well-distributed around the anchor point $p_i$. On the contrary, the Voronoi-cot heat kernel is more sensitive to the surface sampling. On noisy (Fig. 3) and partially-sampled data (Figs. 4), the analogous behavior of the level sets and color maps also confirms the robustness of the linear FEM heat distances.

Fig. 5 shows the $\ell_\infty$ approximation error between the ground-truth heat diffusion distances from a source point and its approximation with $k = 500$ Laplacian eigenpairs and the Chebyshev approximation. While the approximation error of the diffusion distance with the same number of Laplacian eigenpairs decreases and becomes more sensible to local noise as $t$ diminishes, the Chebyshev approximation provides a lower approximation error for any value of $t$. We have further analyzed the different accuracy (Fig. 6) of the spectral and Chebyshev approximation of the heat kernel $K_t(\cdot, \cdot)$, computed using a different number $k$ of eigenfunctions, and the corresponding Chebyshev approximation (c.f., Eq. (9)). For small values of $t$, the partial spectral representation requires a large number $k$ of Laplacian eigenvectors to recover local details. For instance (Fig. 6(a,b)), selecting 1 $K$ eigenpairs the approximation error remains higher than $10^{-2}$; in fact, local shape features encoded by $K_t(\cdot, \cdot)$ for a small $t$ are recovered using the eigenvectors associated with high frequencies, thus requiring the computation of a large part of the Laplacian spectrum. For large values of $t$, increasing $k$ strongly reduces the approximation error until it becomes almost constant and close to zero. In this case, the behavior of the heat kernel is mainly influenced by the Laplacian eigenvectors related to the eigenvalues of smaller magnitude. We conclude that the spectral representation generally requires a high num-
Figure 8: Level sets of the diffusion distance from a source point (orange) on (a) point set (150K points) and (b) a bordered (bottom). In (a), the level sets are rendered on the underlying triangle mesh. For the computation, we have used the Chebyshev approximation of order \( r := 7 \).

Figure 9: (c) Computational cost (in seconds, y-axis, log-scale) for the evaluation of the heat kernel on (a,b,d,e) 3D shapes with \( n \) samples (x-axis), approximated with (straight line) with \( k = 500 \) eigenpairs and the Chebyshev approximation (dotted line). Colors of diffusion distances from the source (orange) point vary from blue (null distance) to red (maximum distance). Timings are reported in Table 1.

5. Conclusions and future work

This paper has presented an efficient and spectrum-free computation of the heat diffusion distance and kernel through the rational Chebyshev approximation, which involves the solution of a set of sparse, symmetric, well-conditioned linear systems and a sequence of matrix-vector multiplications. With respect to previous work, we provide an efficient computation on both triangle meshes and point-sampled surfaces, thus avoiding the computation of the Laplacian spectrum, the selection of a specific subset of eigenpairs, and the use of multiresolutive prolongation operators. Furthermore, the Chebyshev computation is efficient, accurate, and robust to noise, missing and irregularly sampled areas. As future work, we foresee the generalization of the proposed approach to a larger class of spectral distances.
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Table 1: Timings (in seconds, Fig. 9(c)) for the evaluation of the heat diffusion kernels on 3D shapes with \( n \) points, approximated with \( \kappa = 500 \) eigenpairs (Eigs) and the Chebyshev approximation (Cheb.). Column ‘\( \times \)’ indicates the number of times the computational cost is reduced. Tests have been performed on a 2.7 GHz Intel Core i7 Processor, with 8 GB memory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acquarius Fig. 9(a)</th>
<th>Neptune Fig. 9(b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>Eigs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5K</td>
<td>30.06 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25K</td>
<td>97.25 1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35K</td>
<td>130.39 2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50K</td>
<td>173.78 3.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Torus Fig. 9(c)</th>
<th>Julius Fig. 9(d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>Eigs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>12.00 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6K</td>
<td>33.28 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26K</td>
<td>100.88 2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49K</td>
<td>140.00 5.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58K</td>
<td>186.06 7.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Modalities for Physiological Human Articulation”. 3D shapes are courtesy of the AIM@SHAPE Repository.
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